TEST ANALYSIS OF FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO VARIABILITY IN WEB OFFSET COLOR ADVERTISING REPRODUCTION

Norman W. Scharpf*

A primary objective of GCA is to assist the graphic communications industry's employment of technologies and management skills to achieve greater efficiency in the manufacture and distribution of a quality product. One of the conditions standing in the way of this efficiency has been the segmentation of the management of the various phases of product creation and manufacture. Production of a four-color national magazine advertisement, for example, involves no less than six distinct entities, each with individual goals that may potentially conflict with the overall goal of efficient manufacture of a product that meets the realistic quality expectations of the advertiser.

In 1978 GCA began the pursuit of an improved climate for the application of current and future technologies to national magazine ad production. Its SPECTRUM forum, now an annual event, brought together for the first time management representatives from advertising agencies and their clients, separation houses, publishers, printers and suppliers. The purpose was and continues to be an improved level of communication and coordination among all industry segments, through education, dialogue and research. The effectiveness of the program has been felt, through a better understanding and acceptance of the responsibilities of each segment to the cost and quality of the ultimate product, expanded implementation of industry specifications for coordination of materials and procedures, and an increased willingness to capitalize on opportunities afforded by advancing technologies.

The research effort of SPECTRUM has not always had pursuit of knowledge as its goal. Much of what has and will be found is a confirmation of what has been known and proven in other research environments. The purpose of the research may more realistically be defined as the pursuit of a greater understanding of this knowledge among those who are in a managerial position to put it to work in day to day operations.

^{*}Graphic Communications Association, a National Affiliated Association of Printing Industries of America, Inc.

The specific stated goal of the research effort is the control of variables in four-color web offset advertising reproduction. The first project, in 1979, focused on the significance of surface characteristics of production paper. Following a survey of six characteristics—brightness, gloss, shade, smoothness, absorbtivity and opacity—of common four and five grade publication stocks, three factors were selected for testing. S.D. Warren Research Laboratories manufactured five rolls of stock with varying brightness, gloss and shade levels that reflected the range found in the production stocks. The test form consisted of eight ads covering a broad range of subject matter and ink coverage. Separations were all performed in the same facility and were in proper gray balance in controllable ranges. The production run used SWOP inks and a well maintained press. The five manufactured-to-order stocks were run along with a common number five groundwood.

While slight variations were noticable on a side-by-side comparison, results on all six stocks were judged by the advertising agency representative involved to be well within commercially acceptable tolerances. It was the test conclusion that these surface characteristics were not a major contributor to variation in printing results. However, the test further demonstrated the incompatibility between normal light weight production stocks and the SWOP specified proofing stock used in the test. Measurements indicated that substantial differences existed between the proofing and the production stocks in both brightness and gloss.

The purpose of a proof, according to the SWOP Committee, is to reflect what the film will produce on press and provide a customer-approved guideline to the pressman. The 1979 test indicated that the surface property differences, between the standard proofing stock and normal lighter weight production stocks, did not permit proofs using the standard stock to fulfill either of these functions.

Accordingly, the SPECTRUM testing effort in 1980 diverted from its goal of determining a source of variations in printed results, to explore the availability of an alternative proofing stock. Desired surface measurements were provided to a converter, Appleton Paper Company, which applied a coating in relative conformance with specifications, to a freesheet base. A test form comparable to the prior year's form was assembled and the film was proofed on both the Appleton and the SWOP specified stocks. A subsequent press run using light weight production stocks permitted an evaluation of the two proofing papers. Certain refinements in the test proof stock were indicated; however, the test did prove the viability of the concept.

In 1981 a new tool was made available to the test effort, the Eastman Kodak Color Analyzer System. The system makes use of the computer to analyze spectrophotometer readings of a specially designed four-and-onehalf-inch square print target. Eight proof presses and as many separation houses printed the target on a new version of the Appleton proofing stock. Kodak used its analyzer system to measure solid ink densities, dot gain, dot smear, trapping and other qualities. Tone reproduction curves were calculated for the presses. A new press form was assembled incorporating some of the ad material from prior years' tests, plus the Kodak target and other materials. Each of the four service houses in this second phase followed the tone reproduction curves developed from its press results to produce separations to run on the same press. In addition, a production run on a member web offset press used light weight publication grade letter press and offset stocks.

The comparability of the four sets of proofs with one another and with the production run was quite exciting. It led to the 1982 effort where the aim was to analyze production presses with the same detail that had previously been developed for the proof presses.

Obviously separations for a magazine advertisement cannot be made for the individual press or presses on which that ad will run, since the ad may run on a multitude of presses and, in any event, the specific press or presses are not known at the time of the separation operation. However, if one set of tone reproduction curves could be developed based on a "par" of all periodicals presses, that set of curves could be put to use for all the ads that will be run on those presses.

Thus the purpose in 1982: to determine the extent of variability in the output of production presses, to determine to what extent press conditions contributed to variations in printed results, and to evaluate whether or not a "par" for the presses could be developed. Sixteen magazine printing plants participated using an approach which GCA believes came as close as practicable to reflecting the realism of day to day operations.

Under the press procedure, each volunteer printer was provided with four pages of test targets. The printer was asked to select a normal press form of 4-color ads that was due to be run on the test date. After the plates for the production form were made, the printer was told to substitute for four of the pages on that form the four pages of test targets, and make a new set of plates.

Immediately after the production form was run, the printer was told to replace the plates with the test form plates, restart the press and get back to color using the ads remaining on the test form as his color guide. Barring the possibility of including a test target in a production form, which would have proved to be immensely complex, the committee felt that the approach used would come as close as possible to reflecting normal production conditions. In addition to printed results, analyses covered samples of ink, fountain solution, and unprinted paper taken from the press feed.

The amount of data accumulated was monumental, and GCA invites inspection by any interested TAGA member of any of the data amassed in the test. Presentations at SPECTRUM '82 and TAGA '83 encompass only a portion of the dozens of pages of analytical material derived.

Incorporated in this report are four charts which present much of the raw data developed by one analyzer. The charts cover each of the four inks, fountain solution samples, and printed results; plus minimum, maximum, average, and standard deviation for each set of readings. The letters A through P represent each of the printing plants whose identity has remained confidential.

Ink analysis revealed nothing unusual. Fountain solution data suggests that some of the new solutions on the market are close to neutral. Mechanical dot gain revealed wide variation.

The fifth chart enclosed deals with paper properties. A cover sheet and two freesheet body stocks are included. The light weight coated groundwood fell basically within the visual tolerance ranges established in 1979.

The 1982 results pointed to mid-tone dot gain and contrast as being primary causes of variation in printed results, with reliance on solids alone, an inadequate print control. The test also confirmed the viability of the concept of establishing a "par" for production presses from which tone reproduction curves could be established for preparatory operations. With this information, and with the availability once again in 1983 of the Kodak Analyzer System, plus System Brunner, courtesy DuPont Company, the committee is now engaged in a comprehensive multi-phase project encompassing a still broader base of web offset presses.

Phase I of the current test involves thirty presses including several narrow webs as well as some of the largest full-size webs. Each printer has been provided with eight test targets which, when assembled, make up an eight page form. The printers have been provided no proof; alternatively, they have been instructed to use their densitometers to reach ink film thickness densities that match those of the SWOP color reference provided to them. In addition to the printed impressions, ink, fountain solution and paper samples, plus detailed press data are being collected from each printer.

Analysis of Phase I will lead to selection of six presses on which operational or materials changes may be instituted to help narrow the range of variability of output. New film, separated in accordance with a uniform set of tone reproduction curves, will be provided to the printers. A second test run will be conducted on these six presses to determine if the changes instituted in press and pre-press operations do, in fact, produce a greater uniformity of printed results.

It is the hope of the Print Properties Committee that the test will result in: (1) specific recommendations to the industry on tone reproduction curves for national magazine advertisement; (2) guidelines for printing plants on steps that may be instituted for greater press control; and (3) a greater understanding of the advantages of the various analytical systems. Plans call for final analysis of test results in time for presentation at SPECTRUM '83 in September. Recommendations to the industry emanating from SPECTRUM review of the test will be pursued through the industry SWOP Committee.

The Graphic Communications Association expresses its appreciation to Mr. Frank Sullivan of Collier Graphic Services for his guiding efforts as Chairman of the GCA Print Properties Committee; the DuPont Company, the 3M Company, the Eastman Kodak Company, Spectragraphic, Inc., American Color Corporation, S.D. Warren Company, Division of Scott Paper Company, Liberty Photo Engraving Company, the Graphic Arts Technical Foundation, Rochester Institute of Technology, and ink manufacturers Borden, Bowers, General Printing Ink, Inmont and U.S. Printing Ink for their many and various contributions to the project; all the members of the Print Properties Committee for their assistance in the design of the test programs, and to the separation houses and printers whose contributions of valuable production time have made the tests possible. The author is especially grateful to Thomas Basore of the DuPont Company, George Leyda of 3M and Paul Concannon of S.D. Warren Company for supplementing this paper with their detailed reports at TAGA '83.

GCA SPECTRUM 82	CA SPECTRUM 82 1982 GCA PRINT PROPERTIES COMMITTEE												BLACK							
INK	A	B	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	t	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	MAX	AVE	STDV
TACK 1MIN-800rpm STABILITY % SOLVENTS	8.9 1.6 39	9.1 1.7 30.1	10.7 2.5 38	10.7 1.8 39.8	10.8 2.5 36.2	12.3 1.6 40	11.6 2.3 34.4	1.5	9 1.3 41.6	8.9 1.8 37.5	12.2 1.8 39.3	12.8 1.8 40.4	11.1 1.4 42.3	15.1 2.2 35.2	1.6	13.3 1.6 37	7.6 1.3 34.4	15.1 2.5 42.3	11 2 38	0.37
FOUNTAIN SCLUTION	A	8	с	ם מ	E	F	G	н	I	j	к	L	н	N	0	P	MIN	n Ma)	K AVE	STDV
TOTAL ACIDITY CONDUCTIVITY PH	269 1000 5.3	461 2150 3.8	192 1150 4.1	289 825 3.6	508 1550 2.9	499 800 3.9	614 2000 3.4	192 1090 6.8	192 800 2.9	634 1200 3.6	701 2500 3.2	200 1000 4.2	134 750 6.4	816 3100 3.2	634 2050 4.4	403 900 5.8	134 750 2:9	816 3100 6.8	421 1435 4	217 727 1.22
PRINTED PAPER	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	ĸ	L	М	N	0	P	MIN	Max	AVE	STDV
INK DENSITY EQ DOT GAIN MECHANICAL GAIN	1.36 67 17	1.79 73 23	1.47 76 26	1.55 67 17	1.92 80 30	1.31 66 16	1.53 63 13	1.3 65 16	1.72 60 10	1.3 66 16	1.95 68 18	1.54 61 11	1.72 84 34	1,66 66 16	1.57 69 19	1.34 58 8	1.3 58 8	1.95 84 34	1.56 60 18	0.21 7 7
150 LINE																				
INK DENSITY EQ DOT MECHANICAL GAIN	1.5 73 23	1.97 76 26	1.54 82 32	1.58 69 29	1.84 77 27	1.56 71 21	1.61 69 19	1.21 68 18	1.7 61 11	1.73 66 16	1.99 68 18	1.46 62 12	1.64 84 34	1.76 70 20	1.63 75 25	1.37 56 6	1.21 56 6	1.98 84 34	1.63 70 20	0.20 7 7

NOTE: MECHANICAL GAIN IS CALCULATED USING 40% AS THE FILM DOT AND 10% AS THE OPTICAL GAIN.

281

GCA SPECTRUM 82	TRUM 82 1982 GCA PRINT PROPERTIES COMMITTEE CMAIL																			
INK	A	8	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	L L	ĸ	L	M	N	Ø	P	MIN	Max	AVE	STDV
TACK IMIN-BOORPM STABILITY Z SOLVENT	11.8 1.5 37.1		7.5 1.9 38.8	12.2 1.7 38.1	10.5 2.3 33.1	14,4 1.5 38.4	14.5 2.1 38.7	10.7 1.6 37.4	12.9 1.7 35.5	15.1 2.6 35.6	12.4 2.1 35.4	2	12.7 1.1 40.5	13.4 1.5 38.8	11.9 1.4 40.2	9.6 1.1 42.2	1.1		12 1.77 38	2 0.43 2
FOUNTAIN SOLUTION	A	В	C	D	E	F	6	н	I	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	мах	AVE	STDV
TOTAL ACIDITY Conductiviy PH	710 900 5.3	499 2200 3.9	211 1075 4.1	288 900 3.4	470 1500 2.9	490 755 4	6:4 2000 3.4	192 1090 6.8	NO SAMPLE	557 1050 3.9	701 2600 3.2	234 800 6.8	134 850 6.6	787 3500 313	634 2100 4,4	403 900 5.8		787 3500 6.9	452 1481 4.5	210 820 1.4
PRINTED PAPER	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	МАХ	AVE	STDV
120 LINE INK DENSITY	1.01	1.17	1.08	.06	1.02	1.23	1.19	1.06	1.44	1.14	1.25	.88	.94	1.12	1.29	1.01	.83	1.44	1.11	0.15
EB.DOT GAIN MECHANICAL GAIN	60 10	60 10	74 24	57 57	56 6	50 10	54 4	74 74 24	54 4	58 8	61 11	51 11	61 11	55 5	63 13	52 52	.52	74 24	60 10	0.15 6 6
150 LINE																	l			
INK DENSITY EQ.DOT MECHANICAL GAIN	1.05 64 14	1.12 64 14	1.13 82 32	.98 60 10	1.13 59 9	1.28 65 15	1.29 61 11	.93 76 26	1.49 50 8	1.04 58 8	1.34 83 13	.85 66 16	1.08 70 20	1.24 58 8	1.33 67 17	1.08 57 7	57	1.49 82 32	1.14 64 14	0.18 6.95 7

AND 10% AS THE OPTICAL GAIN.

gca spectrum 82	1982 GCA PRINT PROPERTIES COMMITTEE																			
INK	A	B	C	D	ε	F	G	н	I	J.	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	MAX	AVE	STDV
TACK 1MIN-BOOFPM STABILITY Z SOLVENT	2.3	11.3 1.3 43.7	7.5 1.9 38.8	8.4 1.6 40	11.3 2.3 35.8	1.7	12.7 1.8 36.7	1.5	2	1.8	12.4 1.9 37.3	5	1.3	12 1.7 41.4	12 1 34.9	1.1	1	12.7 5 43.7	2	1.68 0.91 2.49
FOUNTAIN SOLUTION	A	8	C	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	ĸ	L	M	N	D	p	MIN	,MAX	ave	STDV
TOTAL ACIDITY CONDUCTIVITY PH	365 1400 4.1	499 2200 3.8	211 1075 4.1	299 675 3.8	461 1325 3	480 775 4	614 2000 3.4	192 1090 5.8	173 475 4.2	557 1100 3.8	720 2600 3.2	230 800 6.5	154 750 6.6	1248 3850 2.8	634 2100 4.4	299 900 6.2	475	1248 3850 6.8	445 1445 4.42	291 995 1.33
PRINTED PAPER	A	B	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	МАХ	AVE	STDV
120 LINE																				
INK DENSITY EG.DOT GAIN MECHANICAL GAIN	1.15 63 13	1.12 52 2	1.14 82 12	.93 53 3	1.18 56 6	1.33 60 10	1.3 53 3	.99 70 20	1.28 60 10	1.2 56 6	1.38 66 16	.99 60 10	1.08 63 13	1.21 57 7	1.48 63 13	1.06 58 8	52	1.48 70 20	1.18 60 10	0.15 5 5
150 LINE																				
INK DENSITY ED.DOT MECHANICAL GAIN	1.15 67 17	1.14 56 6	1.16 70 20	.94 59 9	1.13 60 10	1.35 66 15	1.28 59 9	.83 73 23	1.29 67 17	1.16 60 10	1.37 71 21	.96 66 16	1.18 72 22	1.22 53 13	1.44 70 20	1.14 67 17	56	1.44 73 23		0.16 5.28 5

NOTE: MECHANICAL GAIN IS CALCULATED USING 40% AS THE FILM DOT

AND 10% AS THE OPTICAL GAIN.

283

GCA SPECTRUN 82	1982 GCA PRINT PROPERTIES COMMITTEE									YELLOW										
INK	A	B	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	MAX	ave	STDV
TACK 1MIN-800rpm STABILITY % SOLVENTS	7.6 1.6 42.1	8.7 1.1 45.1	11.8 2.1 47	8.3 1.5 41.7	7.3 1.5 36.7	8.3 1.6 43.4	1.5	8.6 .6 43.5	9.3 2.1 41.9	11.8 1.7 39.7	1.3	10.5 1.9 39.1	10.2 1 45.6	9.5 1.4 43.3	7.5 1.2 44.3	8.1 Ì.4 46.2	713 16 36.7	12.1 2.1 46.2	1.47	1.59 0.39 2.05
FOUNTAIN SOLUTION	A	B	C	D	E	F	0	н	I	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	MAX	ave	STDV
TOTAL ACIDITY CONDUCTIVIY PH	672 1000 5.6	461 2100 3.8	163 025 4.1	307 925 3.6	480 1475 3	480 750 4	NO	192 950 6.8	ND	614 1075 3.9	710 2600 3.2	292 1075 5	154 725 6.6	826 3100 3.2	634 2100 4.4	288 900 5.1		826 3100 6.8	448 1400 5	220 763 1.30
							SAMPL		Sampl			_					-		-	
PRINTED PAPER	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	ĸ	L	M	N	0	P	MIN	Max	AVE	STOV
120 LINE																				
INK DENSITY EG.DOT GAIN MECHANICAL GAIN	.85 65 15	.71 59 9	. 79 70 20	.69 55 5	.85 81 11	.88 61 11	.9 58 8	.85 82 32	.96 60 10	.93 58 8	.84 53 3	. 78 76 26	.84 66 16	.75 62 12	.96 60 10	. 79 60 10	.69 53 3	. 96 65 32	0.94 63 13	0.08 8 8
150 LINE																				
INK DENSITY	.93 76	. 79 59	•84 78	.8 60	. 86 64	.94 66	.96 62	.8 84	.94 62	.92 60	.81 56	.78 78	.88 68	.79 68	.97 66	.83 65	. 78 56	.97 64	0.87 67	0.07 8
MECHANICAL GAIN	26	9	28	10	14	16	12	34	12	10	6	28	18	18	16	15	6	34	17	8

NOTE: MECHANICAL GAIN IS CALCULATED USING 40% AS THE FILM DOT AND 10% AS THE OPTICAL.

284

1982 GCA PRINT PROPERTIES COMMITTE	1982	GCA	PRINT	PROPERTIES	COMMITTEE
------------------------------------	------	-----	-------	------------	-----------

PAPER

										*****						·
UNPRINTED PAPER	A	8	C	D	E	F	G	н	I	L	ĸ	L	м	N	0	P
GLOSS	43.3	40.8	45.2	58 .8	53,6	44.2	47.4	54.6	62.9	49.1	43.4	51,B	51.3	N	48.7	62
OPACITY	87.3	86.3	90.1	95	90.2	87	85.1	88.7	92	90.3	85.9	89	68.3	0	85.6	90.7
BRIGHTNESS	57.6	70	70.B	76.8	77.3	73.4	70.7	67 .7	71.4	76.2	73.0	70.7	69.1	5	71	70
K&N	.08	.12	.08	.1	.08	.11	.14	.08	•08	. 12	.1	.07	.07	Ă M	.07	.08
L	85.26	86.61	87.57	90.56	90.72	87.52	87.47	85.71	86.75	89.63	88.04	84.15	82.43	P	82.93	84.09
a	43	34	36	46	83	-,58	97	62	-1.57	-1.75	57	-1.3	-1.36	Ē	-1.46	-1.50
5	4.74	4.82	5.49	4.61	4.75	3.75	5.17	4.86	4.51	4.51	3.49	3.17	2.18		2.21	3,32

NOTE: -a= GREEN +a= RED -b= BLUE +b= YELLOW