WHICH PRINTING MARKET SECTIONS MIGHT BE THREATENED BY NEW PRINTING TECHNOLOGIES ?

Rudolf Uhrig

1. Main Market Sections and their main Technologies

Printing Technologies		Market Sections			
	heliogravure	newspapers			
	letterpress	magazines			
	Titho offset	periodicals			
web		commercial advertisement			
sheetfed	litho offset	catalogs			
SHEELLEU	silk scroop	books			
	SIIK SCIECH	packaging			
small offset		forms			
instant printing	litho offset	patents			
Instant printing		newsletters			
		manuals			
		circulations			
Corporate Electronic		proceedings			
Dockton Publishing		scripts			
Computer Printing	IP, NIP	hand outs			
Tracuritor Printing		manuscripts			
Typewiller rrinting		single issues			

I.) Conventional Printing Technologies consist of five repeatable steps:

<u>Original</u>	Process	<u>Replica</u>
manuscript	photosetting	film
original	scanning	film
film	mounting	film
film	exposure	plate
<u>printing machine</u> with durable plates	printing	print <u>on any paper</u> with high density and high resolution and in color

They are threatened by...

II.) New Printing Technologies (supposed to be cheaper and faster)

manuscript	typing	memory	(e.g.	disk,	tape)
original	digitizing	memory			
memory	computing	memory			

<u>computer + printer</u>	toner)		
electronic printing	ink jet printing	сору	<u>on special paper</u>
form	thermo)		with good density
			and low resolution

The main advantages and disadvantages of both types of printing technologies are obvious. Fig.1 shows the simulation of both kinds of printing technologies.



Fig.1

Courtesy of VTT

Images with different resolutions (horizontal) and different gray scale levels (vertical). Higher technologies are able to show lower ones, not vice versa.

THREAT

From the technological point of view, can a coarse measure for threatening be found?

- - - --

Experience shows that threat is inversely porportional to run length and information content

threat $\sim \frac{1}{R_1 \times I_c}$ $R_1 = run length$ $I_c = information content$

The higher the run length of a job and the higher the information content, the lower the possibility of market sections to be captured by low printing technologies

or, in other words, a Technology Indicator \mathbf{T}_{I} can be defined.

Technology Indicator $T_I \sim R_l \times I_c$ (Run lenghth x Information content)

 $T_{I} = f \cdot (R_{I} \times I_{c})$

f = factor or function for not yet known parameters and to trim the dimensions, usually = 1 If T_I is higher than i.e. $10^7 \dots 10^8$, then conventional printing technologies are asked for

and

if T_I is lower than 10⁷, then new printing technologies might be more economical.

Nominal R₁ = sheets in number of letter **A**4 size is a common base I_c = bit per square inch

Information Content

The Information Scientists defined Information Content as follows:

A numerical measure of the information generated in selecting a specific symbol (or message) equal to the negative of the logarithm of the probability of the symbol (or message) selected. Also known as negentropy.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & N & \\ H = \sum p_i \ \text{id} & \frac{1}{p_i} \\ i=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} N = & \text{number of possible information in a repertoire} \\ p = & \text{probability or frequency} \\ of \ appearance \\ \text{id} = & \text{logarithm dualis} \end{array}$$

We assume for printed image a probability of Gaussian distribution. Then the information content simplifies to

H_{max} = N·ld Z
N = number of pixels of an image
Z = number of distinguishable events,
i.e. Z = 2 if a pixel is either black or
white, otherwise the number of grey steps.







Both pictures a and b consist of the same information content. They both consist of $10 \ge 100$ pixels or 100 bits.

The maximal $I_c = 100 \cdot ld \ 2 = 100$ bit = $ld \ 2^{100}$ because $2^{100} = 10^{30}$ different binary pictures are possible. Two of them are shown here.

If the information content of a print is the same as of the original then the quotient q of both equals to one. In other words, the quotient q is a good measure for print quality. /2/

The information content should not be mixed up with let's say the intellectual information content. An example for two different intellectual information contents of even one single information content shows Fig.3 (Courtesy /1/ F.Bartlett)



Fig. 3 2 different intellectual information contents

Either you recognize a young lady with a feather and a necklace or an old toothless woman with a headscarf, but not both at the same time.

To calculate the information content of prints of new and conventional printing technologies seems to be rather easy.

```
The number of pixel N per inch are almost the same
in new and conventional printing technologies,
namely:
120 dpi <sup>2</sup> 50 lines/cm news paper
150 dpi <sup>2</sup> 60 lines/cm commercial printing
200 dpi <sup>2</sup> 80 lines/cm
300 dpi <sup>2</sup> 120 lines/cm
```

The big difference, which some people sometimes forget to mention is the number of Z. Z = 2 for new printing technologies, because one pixel has only 2 possibilities, either black or white.

The interesting question is what value has Z for conventional technologies, i.e. how many gray steps do we have? Some /3/ mean $64 = 2^6$ are enough, others mean $256 = 2^8$ are common.

Considering 200 dpi i.e. 80 l/cm screen and one sqi for 64 gray levels $I_c = 200 \cdot 200 \cdot 1d \ 2^6 \text{ per sqi}$ $= 240 \text{ k bit/sqi} \stackrel{\frown}{\sim} 37 \text{ k bit/cm}^2$

and for 256 gray levels $I_c = 200 \cdot 200 \cdot 1d 2^8$ per sqi $I_c = 320$ k bit/sqi $\hat{\approx} 50$ k bit/cm²

in new technologies $I_c = 200 \cdot 200 \cdot 1d 2$ with 2 "gray" levels $I_c = 40 \text{ k bit/sqi} \stackrel{\wedge}{\Rightarrow} 6 \text{ k bit/cm}^2$

compared with 8...80 k bit/cm² for computer disks.

Another way to calculate the amount of Z is the following. Conventional scanners digitize a conventional dot by joining let's assume 12.5μ m pixels together to a dot. A square inch of printed paper may consist again of 200x200 dots. Each square dot has a length of 1/200 inch $\approx 127\mu$ m. A full dot square therefore consists of roughly 10x10 = 100 pixels i.e. 100 gray steps are possible.

Fig. 4 shows how many gray steps are possible with a given light beam diameter (horizontal) for different screen rules (vertical)

1 —										_
beam diamet	er (µma)			170	127	85	44	22	10	7
corresponds	to res	olution	(L/cm)	60	80	118	227	455	1024	1400
"	11	11	(dpi)	150	200	300	577	1155	2600	3555
		_								
for a scree	n rule	of								
				=====	=====		ubessa			******
dpi	L/cm									
50	20			9	16	34	128	517	2621	4900
100	40			2	4	8	32	129	655	1225
150	60			1	1	3	14	57	291	544
resolution	limit	of eye					1			
200	80			-	-	2	8	32	163	306
300	118			-	-	1	3	14	95	140
				La	serpri	inter	Scan	iner +	Type	setter
				New	Techno	ology	Conve	ntiona	l Tech	nology
							Į.			

- Fig.4 Number of possible gray scale levels depending on screen rule and beam diameter
 - 522

 Scannerresolution (L/cm)

 Number of possible =

 gray steps

 Screenrule (L/cm)

All those printed matters which need more than 10...30 gray levels are not threatened by new technologies.

How many gray levels do we really need?

Hradetzky /4/ mentioned in his thesis that density variations of

 $\Delta D = \pm 0.02$ (in black and white!)

can be just recognized. If we print a solid density of 1.5 then $\Delta D = 0.02$ corresponds to 75 different recognizable gray levels. If we print 1.8 then 90 gray levels are recognizable, for black and white work.

For fourcolor work we may need much more gray levels. Some research is going on in different places of the world.

Therefore we may conclude, that top printed work, which needs at least 60 gray steps can not at all be threatened by new printing technologies, especially good color work.

So far the technological point of view, the information content, which depends on the achievable degree of gray levels.

What about run length, the economical point of view? Where has the borderline to be found between conventional and new technologies? Let's dare a trial.

The following comparison of costs is calculated under the following preconditions:

 Image to be reproduced is available as complete paste up or as image on monitor printing foil offset print toner print with high density with good density many gray levels on few gray levels on any paper special plain paper

2) Printing foil image is produced with a hired copier. Quality is therefore comparable with toner printer. The cost per produced foil is DM 0,25.

 costs for paper operator energy housing
 costs for maintenance consumables (ink, toner, rubber blanket, drum, etc.)

capital costs

are included because of being different.

5) Machine respective system will be depreciated in 8 resp. 5 years although a machine lasts much longer than a system. It actually means that resale prices differ considerably. With other words the following calculated break even point favours the toner printing system. Costs of Copies and Break-Even Point for Run Length

The costs per single page printed in a duplicator under the above mentioned conditions can be calculated by

 $cc = \frac{pc}{10} + \frac{P(p + 1/d) + M}{2,4 \cdot JD \cdot SJ} + \frac{100 F}{SJ}$

The costs per single page printed in a toner printer can be determined by

$$cc = \frac{pc}{10} + \frac{P(p + 1/d) + M}{2.4 \cdot CD}$$

۰

where

cc = cost per copy in cents pc = generalized printing costs per thousand in Dollar F = cost of printing foil (or plate) in Dollar SJ = average number of sheets per job JD = number of jobs daily P = price of machine in Dollar d = linear depreciation in years p = interest M = cost of maintenance p.a.

Instead of SJ and JD the amount of daily copies (CD) can be put in, respectively the twentieth of the volume of a month.

It seems to be rather difficult to compare costs of both technologies. A duplicator needs a foil (F) or plate and runs a number of sheets per job (SJ) and so many jobs per day (JD). A toner equipment delivers a certain amount of copies (CD) per day. But equipment prices (P), capital costs by interest (p) and depreciation (d) can easily be compared for toner printers, copiers or litho offset duplicators.

Consumables, except paper are introduced by the term pc. It is a generalized average value for printing 1000 copies. Examples of costs are shown in Fig.5 - 7 In order to determine a threat by costs a break-even point can be calculated between a duplicator with foil costs (F) and on the other side a toner system. Underneath this break-even point, because of a too short run length, the conventional printing technologies might be threatened by new ones.

The break-even point can be determined by the following formula:

BEP = $\frac{10}{p}$ (100 F - $\frac{1}{JD}$. $\frac{\Delta MF}{2,4}$)

where

 $\Delta MF = MF_2 - MF_1$ and MF = P(p + 1/d) + M $\Delta p = pc_2 - pc_1$

An example is given by Fig. 8 and 9.





528

Figure 5

Figure 6



Tonerprinter

၁၁

Blattkosten in DPf für Duplicator



durchschnittl. Tagesauflage





BREAK-EVEN POINT





BREAK-EVEN POINT

BREAK-EVEN POINT IN THOUSAND COPIES

.



References

- /1/ Karl Steinbuch, Kommunikationstechnik, Springer-Verlag 1977
- /2/ Wolf & Scheuter, Beitrag zur Systemtheorie der Druckverfahren, Institutsbericht 2/70, Institut für Druckmaschinen und Druckverfahren der Technischen Hochschule Darmstadt, F.R.Germany
- /3/ Schneider, J. (FOGRA-Institut), Informationsdichte in Produkten, Referat auf dem VFG/GLV IARIGAI-Symposium "Unkonventionelle Druckverfahren", Wien, 26.-28.11.1986
- /4/ Hradetzky, Objektive Qualitätsbeurteilung von Druckprodukten und Möglichkeiten zur analytischen Behandlung von Reproduktions- und Druckprozessen mit Hilfe der Informationstheorie. Dissertation 1977 Technische Hochschule Darmstadt

Figures

Fig.	1	VTT simulation of different technologies
Fig.	2	Images of same information content
Fig.	3	2 different intellectual information contents
Fig.	4	Number of possible gray scale levels depend- ing on screen rule and beam diameter
Fig.	5	Costs per sheet of toner printer 10050000
Fig.	6	Costs per sheet of toner printer 100050000
Fig.	7	Costs per sheet of duplicator (in Dpf)
Fig.	8	Break-even point 10 ppm toner printer/dupli- cator
Fig.	9	Break-even point 24 ppm toner printer/dupli- cator
Fig.	10	Printing landscape